

Simulations of future labour force in the EU+ using scenarios of labour force integration of immigrants

Michaela Potančoková & Guillaume Marois

7th European User Conference for EU-Microdata 26th March 2021

Objective

- Immigration often presented as a tool to improve the expected labour force decline
- But shift towards rights-based migration policy implies a need for better integration of (some groups of) immigrants into labour market
- What would be the long-term impacts of improved or worsened economic integration of immigrants on labour supply and employment?

Figure 8: Refugees and dependent family members are not easily integrating into our labour markets

Employment rates by type of initial residency permit and duration of residence in the EU, ages 15-64, 2014, in %



Source: EPSC (2018)

Labour force participation rates at age 35-39, EU28



Labour force participation rates at age 35-39, **EU28**



Data: EU-LFS 2010-2016

WOMEN

Labour force participation rates at age 35-39, EU28



Data: EU-LFS 2010-2016

Unemployment rates at age 35-39, EU28



Unemployment rates at age 35-39, EU28



MEN

Unemployment rates at age 35-39, EU28



Microsimulation model dimensions

Events	Age	Sex	Place of residence	Place of birth	Age at immigration	Duration of stay (immigrants)	Language	Religion	Education	Mother's education	Methods to estimate parameters
Fertility	X		Х	Х	Х	Х			Х		Vital statistics, logit regression
Mortality	X	Х	Х						Х		Vital statistics
<i>Migration between EU MS</i>	X	Х	Х								Population estimates, O/D matrices
Emigration outside EU	X	Х	Х								Population estimates
Language used at home					Х	Х	Х				Survival curves
Religion	X	Х	Х					Х			0/D matrices
Educational attainment	Х	Х	Х	Х			Х	Х		Х	Ordered logit regression
Labour force participation	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х			Х		Logit regression
Employment	X	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х			Х		Logit regression



Modelling of labour force participation and employment

sex- and country-specific logit regressions for age>14 & age<75, pooled EU-LFS 2010-2015:

$$\begin{split} &\log it(P) \\ &= \beta_0 + \beta_1 AGE + \beta_2 EDU + \beta_3 YEAR + \beta_4 (AGE * EDU) + \beta_5 (AGE * YEAR) \\ &+ \beta_6 (EDU * YEAR) + \beta_7 (AGE * EDU * YEAR) + \beta_8 IMMIG + \beta_9 (IM15 * EDU) \end{split}$$

EDU (educational attainment): Low (L): Lower secondary or less (ISCED 2 or lower) Medium (M): Upper secondary completed (ISCED 3) High (H): Post-secondary (ISCED 4+) IMMIG (migrant status): Born in EU28 Born outside EU28, arrived before the age of 15 Born outside EU28, arrived after the age of 15, duration of stay < 5 Born outside EU28, arrived after the age of 15, 5 <= duration of stay < 10 Born outside EU28, arrived after the age of 15, 10 <= duration of stay



Modelling of labour force participation and employment

sex- and country-specific logit regressions for age>14 & age<75, pooled EU-LFS 2010-2015:

$$\begin{split} &\log it(P) \\ &= \beta_0 + \beta_1 AGE + \beta_2 EDU + \beta_3 YEAR + \beta_4 (AGE * EDU) + \beta_5 (AGE * YEAR) \\ &+ \beta_6 (EDU * YEAR) + \beta_7 (AGE * EDU * YEAR) + \beta_8 IMMIG + \beta_9 (IM15 * EDU) \end{split}$$

 $logit(E) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 AGE + \beta_2 EDU + \beta_3 (AGE * EDU) + \beta_4 IMMIG + \beta_5 (IM15 * EDU)$

EDU (educational attainment): Low (L): Lower secondary or less (ISCED 2 or lower) Medium (M): Upper secondary completed (ISCED 3) High (H): Post-secondary (ISCED 4+) IMMIG (migrant status): Born in EU28 Born outside EU28, arrived before the age of 15 Born outside EU28, arrived after the age of 15, duration of stay < 5 Born outside EU28, arrived after the age of 15, 5 <= duration of stay < 10 Born outside EU28, arrived after the age of 15, 10 <= duration of stay



Scenarios of economic integration of immigrants from outside the EU28

- Scenarios to help assess the long-term impact of better or worsened economic integration of immigrants
- Take into account employment not only labour force participation (extends Marois et al. 2018, 2019)
- Not predictions or plausible scenarios but stylized situations (whatif scenarios) representing a range of policy outcomes
- Assumptions on LFPR and unemployment at fixed demographic and educational trends, and at fixed volume and composition of immigrantion flows



Scenario assumptions

Component	Baseline	High integration	Low integration	High employment				
Fortility	Slight increase in the TFR from about 1.6 in 2015-19 to 1.78 by 2060							
rentinty	Constant differential between the native-born and immigrants							
Mortality	Continuous improvement in life expectancy, past trends continue							
Educational attainment	Dest trands continue, continued increases in higher education							
(EU-born)	Past trenus continue, continueu increases in nigher eutcation							
Volume of immigration	About 10 million from outside the EU overy 5 years							
into EU28	About to minor nom outside the LO every 5 years							
Educational composition	Same as average recent immigrants $(40\% \text{ low} - 27\% \text{ sec} - 24\% \text{ bigh})$							
of immigrants	Same as average recent immigrants (40% iOW, 27 % SeC, 34% fligh)							
Labour force participation		High integration	Low integration					
of migrants	Baseline	same as EU-born by 2040	worst observed by 2040	Baseline				
Employment of		High integration	Low integration	High integration				
international immigrants	Baseline	= same as EU-born by 2040	= worst observed by 2040	= as as EU-born by 2040				
Labour force participation trends	Constant exit and entry rates net from migration							



Value of parameters used as convergence point in 2040 in LOW integration scenario:

Parameters for immigrants arrived as adults:

	Value of B _a V		Value	alue of B .	
	(Denmark)				
	<u>`</u>		(Sweden)		
	Women	Men	Women	Men	
Born in EU28	Ref	Ref	Ref	Ref	
Born outside EU28, arrived	-0.272	-0.301	-0.589	-0.710	
before the age of 15;					
Born outside EU28, arrived	-1.078	-1.638	-1.877	-1.706	
after the age of 15, duration					
of stay <5;					
Born outside EU28, arrived	-1.421	-1.236	-1.595	-1.520	
after the age of 15,					
5<=duration of stay <10;					
Born outside EU28, arrived	-1.692	-1.422	-0.903	-1.016	
after the age of 15,					
10<=duration of stay;					

Parameters for immigrants arrived as children:

	Value (Deni	e of β ₈ mark)	Value of β ₄ (Sweden)			
Education level	Women	Men	Women	Men		
Low	0.681	0.865	Ref	Ref		
Medium	0.314	0.235	-0.104	-0.101		
High	Ref	Ref	-0.574	-0.336		



Preliminary results for EU28

Projected labour force participation rates in EU28, population age 15-74



Preliminary results for EU28

Projected labour force participation rates in EU28, population age 15-74



Projected unemployment rates in EU28, population age 15-74

Preliminary results for EU28

Projected employment rates of foreignborn population 15-74



Projected employment rates for total population 15-74

Discussion & limitations

- Previous studies show that continuing improvement in education (skills) and larger participation of women would stabilize the LF and also the LFDR (Marois et al 2019)
- Without improved economic integration large immigration would boost the LF size but would also add large number of dependents and wouldn't improve LFDR (Marois and Loichinger 2018)
- Removing the disadvantaged standing of immigrants would slow down the decline of employed population by 50% in 2060, compared to the baseline scenario
- Focus on improving employment must go in hand with improved access to labour market especially for women



Further improvements

- Differentiate among subgroups of immigrants -> conditional on data availability in LFS
- Extend the model to include EFTA countries
- Improve modelling of migration to capture more recent migration trends and differences in emigration between the EU-born and foreign-born
- Analyze country-specific results







Thank you

marois@iiasa.ac.at

potancok@iiasa.ac.at

https://www.quantmig.eu/

Projected employed population 2015-2060

Total employed population (millions)



